# EXHIBIT 3

OCT 18 2024

Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater Received & Filed - Superior Court Lewis County, Washington

UCI 1 8 2024

### Scott Tinney Lewis County Clerk

CIVIL COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT nformation Cover Sheet (CICS) Case Title PYNE V. CENTRALIA COLLEGE et al Case Number JOU ockerby Bar Membership Number Attorney Name Please check one category that best describes this case for indexing purposes. Accurate case indexing not only saves time in docketing new cases, but helps in forecasting needed judicial resources. Cause of action definitions are listed on Form updated 7/14/2023 the back of this form. Thank you for your cooperation. Abstract of Judgment ABJ ☐ PIN ABL Abusive Litigation Personal Injury PRA **Public Records Act** ALR Administrative Law Review Administrative Law Review-Jury Trial (L&I) PRG Property Damage - Gangs ALRJT PRP **Property Damages BAT Quiet Title** CHN Non-Confidential Change of Name QTI Relief from Duty to Register Contractor Bond Complaint RDR CBC Collection RFR Restoration of Firearm Rights COL School District-Required Action Plan Condemnation SDR CON Subdivision Election Process Law Review П SER COM Commercial Consumer Protection Act SPC Seizure of Property-Commission of Crime CPA **Civil Protection Orders** SPR Seizure of Property-Resulting from Crime CPO Pet. for Cert. of Restoration of Opportunity **Employment Security Tax Warrant CRP** TAX L&ITax Warrant TAX DOL Appeal Licensing Revocation Licensing Tax Warrant **ECP Enforce Canadian DV Protection Order** TAX TAX Revenue Tax Warrant **EMP Employment** Tort - Motor Vehicle EOM **Emancipation of Minor** TMV Transcript of Judgment П TRJ FJU Foreign Judgment Foreclosure TTO Tort - Other FOR **FPO** Foreign Protection Order TXF Tax Foreclosure Injunction UND Unlawful Detainer - Commercial INJ Interpleader UND Unlawful Detainer - Residential INT VEP Voter Election Process Law Review Lower Court Appeal - Civil LCA Victims of Motor Vehicle Theft-Civil Action LCI Lower Court Appeal - Infractions VVT LUPA Land Use Petition Act WDE Wrongful Death Other Malpractice WHC Writ of Habeas Corpus MAL Miscellaneous Writs Medical Malpractice WMW MED MHA Malicious Harassment WRM Writ of Mandamus Writ of Restitution MSC<sub>2</sub> Miscellaneous - Civil WRR Minor Settlement - Civil (No Guardianship) WRV Writ of Review MST2 PCC Petition for Civil Commitment (Sexual Predator) XRP Extreme Risk Protection Order ☐ XRU Extreme Risk Protection Order Under 18 PFA **Property Fairness Act** 

IF YOU CANNOT DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE CATEGORY, PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CAUSE OF ACTION BELOW

Please Note: Public information in court files and pleadings may be posted on a public Web site.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS

1

#### RECEIVED

3

OCT 18 2024

4

5

Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Plaintiff,

LAURIE PYNE,

v.

CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

No. 24 2 0106221

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) FOR STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

SUMMONS - Page 1

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Defendants.

TO THE DEFENDANT: STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by Plaintiff, Laurie Pyne. Plaintiff's claims are stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serve a copy upon the person signing this Summons within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where a plaintiff is entitled to what he or she asks for when the defendant did not respond. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

Any response or notice of appearance which you serve on any party to this lawsuit must also be filed by you with the court within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

SUMMONS - Page 2

1	This summons is issued pursuant to Civil Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules
2	of the State of Washington.
3	
4	DATED this 18th day of October, 2024.
5	LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC
6	
7	By: /s/ Joy Lockerby  Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343  1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000
8	Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869
9	Email: <u>Joy@LockerbyLaw.com</u> Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
<u>- 1</u>	SUMMONS – Page 3  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

### RECEIVED

OCT 18 2024

Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS

LAURIE PYNE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

SUMMONS – Page 1

No. 24 2 0106221

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) TO CENTRALIA COLLEGE

1	DATED this 18th day of October, 2024.
2	LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC
3	Pyr /a/ Ioy I oakarby
4	By: /s/ Joy Lockerby Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000
5	Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869
6	Email: <u>Joy@LockerbyLaw.com</u> Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne
7	Tiverney 102 Filming, 217 Education by the
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
	SUMMONS — Page 3  Lockerby Law, PLLC  1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000  Seattle, WA 98101  Phone: (206) 854-2869  Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

OCT 18 2024

Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS

LAURIE PYNE,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Plaintiff,

v.

CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

SUMMONS - Page 1

No. 24 2 0106221

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) FOR CHERYL STRANGE

By: /s/ Joy Lockerby Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000

Phone: (206) 854-2869

Email: Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne

Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000

Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869

Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

OCT 18 2024

Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS

LAURIE PYNE,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Plaintiff,

V.

CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

No. 24 2 0106221

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) FOR DAN WHITE

SUMMONS - Page 1

Defendants.

TO THE DEFENDANT: DAN WHITE;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by Plaintiff, Laurie Pyne. Plaintiff's claims are stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serve a copy upon the person signing this Summons within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where a plaintiff is entitled to what he or she asks for when the defendant did not respond. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

Any response or notice of appearance which you serve on any party to this lawsuit must also be filed by you with the court within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This summons is issued pursuant to Civil Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

SUMMONS – Page 2

DATED this 18th day of October, 2024. 1 LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC 2 3 By: /s/ Joy Lockerby Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343 4 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 5 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Email: Joy@LockerbyLaw.com 6 Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 SUMMONS - Page 3 Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

0	OT	. 4	0	0	വാ.	1.
0			75	2	11/	3
	$\cup$ 1			· fun	04	

Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS

LAURIE PYNE,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Plaintiff,

v.

CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

SUMMONS - Page 1

No. 24 2 0106221

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) FOR JOHN DOE

DATED this 18th day of October, 2024. 1 LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC 2 3 By: /s/ Joy Lockerby Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343 4 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 5 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Email: Joy@LockerbyLaw.com 6 Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 SUMMONS - Page 3 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

OCT 18 2024

Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS

LAURIE PYNE,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Plaintiff,

v.

CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

24 2 0106221

No.

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) FOR JANE DOE

SUMMONS - Page 1

Defendants.

1

### TO THE DEFENDANT: JANE DOE;

served upon you with this summons.

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

21

20

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by Plaintiff, Laurie Pyne. Plaintiff's claims are stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serve a copy upon the person signing this Summons within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where a plaintiff is entitled to what he or she asks for when the defendant did not respond. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

Any response or notice of appearance which you serve on any party to this lawsuit must also be filed by you with the court within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This summons is issued pursuant to Civil Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

SUMMONS - Page 2

DATED this 18th day of October, 2024. 1 LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC 2 3 By: /s/ Joy Lockerby Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343 4 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 5 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Email: Joy@LockerbyLaw.com 6 Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 SUMMONS - Page 3 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

RECEIVED 1 2 OCT 18 2024 3 Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater 4 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS 9 LAURIE PYNE, 10 Plaintiff, 11 12 v. CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community 13 college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief 14 Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and 15 wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE 16 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually 17 and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections 18 Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the 19 Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES, 20 21

0106221 2 No.

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) FOR JANE DOE MOHRBACHER

SUMMONS - Page 1

2

Defendants.

3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13

15

14

16

17

19

18

21

20

TO THE DEFENDANT: JANE DOE MOHRBACHER;

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by Plaintiff, Laurie Pyne. Plaintiff's claims are stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serve a copy upon the person signing this Summons within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where a plaintiff is entitled to what he or she asks for when the defendant did not respond. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

Any response or notice of appearance which you serve on any party to this lawsuit must also be filed by you with the court within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This summons is issued pursuant to Civil Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

SUMMONS - Page 2

DATED this 18th day of October, 2024.

#### LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC

By: /s/ Joy Lockerby
Lov M. Lockerby, WSBA

Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000

Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869

Email: Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne

SUMMONS - Page 3

#### RECEIVED 1 2 OCT 18 2024 3 Attorney General's Office General Services Tumwater 4 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS 9 LAURIE PYNE, 10 Plaintiff, 11 v. 12 CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community 13 college; Robert MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief 14 Executive Officer of Centralia College, and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and 15 wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE 16 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually 17 and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections 18 Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the 19 Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

2 0106221 No.

SUMMONS (20 DAYS) FOR ROBERT MOHRBACHER

SUMMONS - Page 1

20

21

2

3

5

8

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

Defendants.

TO THE DEFENDANT: ROBERT MOHRBACHER;

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by Plaintiff, Laurie Pyne. Plaintiff's claims are stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serve a copy upon the person signing this Summons within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where a plaintiff is entitled to what he or she asks for when the defendant did not respond. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

Any response or notice of appearance which you serve on any party to this lawsuit must also be filed by you with the court within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This summons is issued pursuant to Civil Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

SUMMONS - Page 2

#### LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC

By: /s/ Joy Lockerby
Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343
1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000
Seattle, WA 98101

Phone: (206) 854-2869

Email: Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne

1 Received & Filed - Superior Court Lewis County, Washington 2 RECEIVED OCT 1 8 2024 3 OCT 18 2024 4 **Scott Tinney** Attorney General's Office Lewis County Clerk 5 General Services Tumwater 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS 8 No. 24 2 0106221 9 LAURIE PYNE, 10 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 11 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF V. 12 CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; ROBERT MOHRBACHER, 13 individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College, 14 and JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed 15 thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a 16 Washington agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the 17 Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and 18 in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND 19 JOHN DOES, 20 Defendants. 21 Lockerby Law, PLLC COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 RELIEF-Page 1 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

2

4

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

#### INTRODUCTION

Washington's Constitution and Legislature created broad protections for Washington State teachers and faculty who have been granted Tenure, recognizing the important benefit Tenured faculty have on our educational systems. Tenure is a constitutionally protected and statutorily protected property interest that cannot be taken away without due process of law and sufficient cause.

#### I. PARTIES

- 1.1 Plaintiff Laurie Pyne is a former tenured professor with Centralia Community College residing in Tenino, Washington.
- 1.2 Defendant Centralia College is a legislatively created state community college located in Centralia, Washington, and the former employer of Plaintiff.
- 1.3 Defendant Robert Mohrbacher is the Chief Executive Officer for Centralia College in Centralia Washington. Mohrbacher is an employer, officer, vice principal, or agent of the employer, for purposes of RCW 49.52.070 and other statutes attributing liability for claims herein. Upon information and belief, Mohrbacher resides in Lewis County, is married, and performed the alleged acts and omissions for his own personal benefit or the benefit of his marital community.
- 1.4 Defendant Washington State Department of Corrections (DOC) is an executive branch governmental agency located outside Shelton, Mason County, Washington, and the former employer of Plaintiff.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF—Page 2

	and an employer, officer, vice principal, or agent of the employer, for purposes of
	RCW 49.52.070 and other statutes attributing liability. Upon information and belief,
	White performed the alleged acts and omissions for his own personal benefit or the
	benefit of WCC and the DOC. White's residence is unknown.
1.6	Defendant Cherly Strange, is the Secretary for the Department of Corrections, and
	an and an employer, officer, vice principal, or agent of the employer, for purposes of
	RCW 49.52.070 and other statutes attributing liability. Upon information and belief,
	Strange performed the alleged acts and omissions for her own personal benefit or the
	benefit of the DOC. Strange's residence is unknown.
1.7	Defendants Jane Does and John Does, were an employer, officer, vice principal, or
r	agent of the employer, for purposes of RCW 49.52.070 and other statutes attributing
	liability for claims herein.
	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE
2.1	This court is a court of general jurisdiction and has subject matter jurisdiction over
	the claims alleged in this complaint under RCW 2.08.010 and RCW 49.60.030(2).
2.2	This court has personal jurisdiction over the defendants because the causes of action
	adverse to Plaintiff, which are predicated upon the alleged actions and omissions by
	Defendants, arose in Lewis County, Washington. RCW 4.92.010(2).
	PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 3  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com
	2.1 2.2

1	2.3	On August 16, 2024, Pyne filed a notice of tort claim with the Washington State
2		Department of Enterprise Services regarding the tort claims alleged in this
3		Complaint. More than 60 days have elapsed since the filing of that notice.
4	2.4	Plaintiff has standing to bring this Complaint because she is aggrieved and adversely
5		affected by Defendants' acts and omissions. Plaintiff has had to expend money to
6		protect her Tenure Contract and employment rights.
7		III. FACTS
8	3.1	Upon information and belief, Plaintiff Laurie Pyne incorporates the foregoing
9		paragraphs as though fully set forth and alleges the following:
10	3.2	Plaintiff was a tenured faculty member employed by Centralia College and
11		Department of Corrections (DOC) up until her tenure was dismissed effective April
12		30, 2023.
13	3.3	Plaintiff Pyne was hired on or about October 19, 2018.
14	3.4	Defendants first granted Plaintiff a Tenure appointment on or about March 11, 2021.
15	3.5	Defendants Centralia College, Mohrbacher, and Department of Corrections,
16		following a unanimous recommendation by a Review Committee, joined in the
17		appointment of Pyne's tenure.
18	3.6	Plaintiff was not at-will.
19	3.7	On or about June 1, 2021, Plaintiff executed a Professional Personnel Contract
20		("Tenure Contract").
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 4  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	3.8	The Tenure Contract provides for Plaintiff's Tenured Faculty Appointment
2		employment status and remuneration.
3	3.9	As tenured faculty for Defendants, Plaintiff enjoyed teaching inmates at DOC's
4		Washington Corrections Center (WCC) outside of Shelton, WA. She is a devoted
5		and dedicated professor who enjoys teaching and creating a positive learning
6		environment for her students.
7	3.10	Upon information and belief, at the time the Tenure Contract was executed by
8		Plaintiff, Defendants had not negotiated or bargained for (1) making disclosure of a
9		"vaccine status" a required term and condition of employment, or (2) requiring
10		mRNA COVID-19 vaccines as a term and condition of employment.
11	3.11	The Tenure Contract provides that federal or state laws that are adopted and/or
12		amended and which relate to the employee's performance during the period of time
13		covered by the contract, may be enforceable "so long as any policies adopted by the
14		Board of Trustees do not conflict with any provision in any negotiated agreement in
15		existence during the period of time covered by this contract."
16	3.12	The Tenure Contract further provides that nothing in the contract precludes salary
17		reductions or other changes in conditions of employment "if required by the laws of
18		Washington State Legislature or the rules of the State Board for Community College
19		Education (SBCCE) provided that that all statutes, rules, policies and contract
20		provisions pertaining to reductions are followed."
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 5  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	3.13	On or about August 19, 2021, Elizabeth Grant, Plaintiff's immediate supervisor,
2		asked Plaintiff her vaccine status.
3	3.14	That same day, Defendants sent out dosage timelines for the COVID-19 injections.
4	3.15	On or about September 3, 2021, Erica Holmes, Vice President of Human Resources,
5		sent an email to staff along with a copy of the August 20, 2021, Governor Inslee
6		Proclamation 21-141 stating, "This proclamation makes vaccination a condition of
7		employment for all college employees, including student employees."
8	3.16	Ms. Holmes attached a copy of the August 20, 2021, Governor Inslee issued COVID-
9		19 Proclamation.
10	3.17	The Governor's Proclamation fails to address Tenured faculty.
11	3.18	On or about September 16, 2021, Holmes sent an email to "remind" Plaintiff to enter
12		her vaccination status in ctcLink—a community and technical college database.
13	3.19	Holmes further stated that entering the personal medical data "is a requirement."
14	3.20	On or about September 21 or 22, 2021, Grant called Plaintiff into Grant's office to
15		discuss Plaintiff's medical status. At this meeting, Plaintiff voiced multiple concerns
16		and objections about the new health data disclosure requirement and the new vaccine
17		requirement, and overall concerns about safety.
18	3.21	Upon information and belief, Grant conveyed Plaintiff's medical status to one or
19		more of the Defendants.
20		
21		
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 6  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	3.22	Defendants mailed a certified letter dated October 1, 2021, and postmarked October
2		2, 2021, with the subject, "Notice of intent to Separate for Non-disciplinary
3		Reasons."
4	3.23	This letter contains no reference to Plaintiff's status as a tenured employee.
5	3.24	This October 1, 2021, letter states that Plaintiff must be "fully vaccinated by October
6		18, 2021, and to meet this requirement, Plaintiff "must have received the last dose of
7		the vaccine by October 4, 2021."
8	3.25	This October 1, 2021, letter further states, "If you provide proof of being fully
9		vaccinated, Centralia College will rescind this pre-separation notion [sic]."
10	3.26	On or about October 18, 2021, Defendants Centralia College and Mohrbacher sent
11		Plaintiff a "Notice of Non-disciplinary Separation" that unilaterally places Plaintiff
12		an on unpaid administrative leave.
13	3.27	Defendants' October 18, 2021, letter contains several inaccurate and misleading
14		statements. For example, it erroneously cites to WAC 357-46-195 ("May an
15		employer separate an employee for nondisciplinary reasons?") and WAC 357-46-
16		200 ("What is the notice requirement before separating an employee for
17		nondisciplinary reasons under the provisions of WAC 357-46-195?").
18	3.28	Defendants' October 18, 2021, letter provides that Plaintiff could request a "hearing"
19		if requested in writing in 20 days, but failed to offer a Loudermill hearing or name-
20		clearing or Weingarten hearing for any alleged disciplinary conduct.
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 7  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	3.29	Defendants' October 18, 2021, letter fails to cite to Chapter 28B.50 RCW, et seq.,
2		the Tenure Contract, or Plaintiff's tenure position. Instead, the letter asserts that if
3		Plaintiff does not ask for a hearing it would constitute acceptance of the dismissal.
4	3.30	Defendants' October 18, 2021, letter fails to identify whether the review committee
5		had convened prior to making the decision to dismiss Plaintiff from employment.
6	3.31	On or about October 26, 2021, Plaintiff submitted a response to Defendants
7		requesting a hearing along with a religious exemption request form.
8	3.32	The religious exemption questionnaire asked Plaintiff to certify that she has "a
9		sincerely held religious belief or religious conviction that prevents [her] from
10		receiving the COVID-19 vaccine." Plaintiff checked the box, Yes.
11	3.33	The questionnaire further asked that Plaintiff certify that she "affirm/agree that [she]
12		have never received a vaccine or medicine from a health care provider as an adult.
13		In the blank, Plaintiff wrote, "N/A. Constitutionally invalid question."
14	3.34	On or about October 29, 2021, Defendant Mohrbacher emailed Plaintiff an
15		acknowledgement, stating they had received Plaintiff's request for a hearing and
16		would be "in touch soon with next steps."
17	3.35	No further communication was provided to Plaintiff about the reduction of salary.
18	3.36	On or about November 2021, Plaintiff was notified by Human Resources that her
19		benefits were cut off.
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF– Page 8  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	3.37	During the period of time between about October 18, 2021 to about May 2, 2023,
2		Plaintiff's tenure position was without pay or benefits.
3	3.38	Defendants failed to renew Plaintiff's Tenure Contract in June-July 2022.
4	3.39	There was a two-day hearing before the Office of Administrative Hearings December
5		14-15, 2022.
6	3.40	At the December hearing, Mohrbacher testified to new reasons for the dismissal,
7		reasons that he believed would count as sufficient cause. Plaintiff was not provided
8		proper notice of these new allegations.
9	3.41	On or about May 2, 2023, and following the unduly lengthy and unfair administrative
10		"hearing" process of about nineteen (19) months, Plaintiff was served notification
11		from the Centralia College Board of Trustees, indicated that Plaintiff's tenure
12		position was dismissed effective April 30, 2023.
13	3.42	None of the Defendants established, with any valid legislative support or medical
14		authority that the requirements to disclose one's vaccine status or a COVID-19
15		vaccine was a legitimate Bona Fide Occupational Qualification (BFOQ) in
16		employment.
17	3.43	Defendants created an unlawful and unconstitutional "exemption" process, whereby
18		Defendants shifted the burden onto the employee to initiate a special request to be
19		"exempted" from the COVID-19 medical injections.
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 9  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	3.44	Defendant Centralia College only offered two types of "exemptions": (1) religious
2		beliefs or religious convictions, or (2) medical.
3	3.45	Although Plaintiff submitted a religious exemption, Defendants ignored her
4		objections and complaints.
5	3.46	Neither Defendants DOC nor Centralia College required inmates to get vaccinated.
6	3.47	Evidence shows that both vaccinated individuals and unvaccinated individuals can
7		contract and transmit COVID-19.
8	3.48	Defendants did not produce any evidence showing a correlation between receiving a
9		vaccination and a reduction in the spread of COVID-19.
10	3.49	Defendants did not explicitly offer an exemption for "Creed," one's conscience, or
11		constitutional objections.
12	3.50	Defendants Centralia College and Mohrbacher used a medical exemption form that
13		required a waiver and authorization to Release Information to Human Resources.
14		The medical exemption form indicates that GINA protections apply, but failed to
15		reference the Health Information Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) or
16		Washington law, Chapter 70.02 RCW, Medical Records – Health Care Information
17		Access and Disclosure.
18	2.51	On an about Nevember 24, 2021 Defordent Mahrhachen namended to Plaintiff's
19	3.51	On or about November 24, 2021, Defendant Mohrbacher responded to Plaintiff's
20		email stating they are contracting with an agency in Olympia to provide a hearing
21		officer. Dr. Mohrbacher copied Assistant Attorney General Matthew Barber of the
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 10  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1		WA State Attorney General's Office, who had not entered a notice of appearance.
2		AAG Matthew Barber provided his notice of appearance on or about January 19,
3		2022.
4	3.52	During Plaintiff's employment period without pay, Defendants failed to consider
5		reinstatement or accommodations or to interact with her about her objections and
6		complaints.
7	3.53	Plaintiff was a paid union member in good standing with the Centralia College
8		Federation of Teachers, Local #4469 AFT/AFL-CIO.
9	3.54	Plaintiff was not offered a Loudermill hearing or a Weingarten hearing by
10		Defendants or the union after Defendant Mohrbacher testified to new allegations of
11		disciplinary conduct.
12	3.55	Defendants changed the composition of the review committee during the dismissal
13		process, outside of RCW 28B.50.869 and not accordance with article XVI, § 6 of the
14		Collective Bargaining Agreement.
15	3.56	Mark Gorecki, union president, testified at the December 2022 hearing that the
16		COVID-19 vaccine was not bargained for by the union and Defendants as a term or
17		condition of employment.
18	3.57	Defendants failed to bargain or negotiate with Plaintiff or the union regarding any
19		proposed terms and conditions of employment.
20		
21		
	1000 1000000	PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 11  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	3.58	Defendants required Plaintiff to disclose Plaintiff's medical information and
2		protected health information to her supervisor and a ctcLink database, which could
3		be accessed by multiple people.
4	3.59	Defendants rolled a new "exemption" process limited Plaintiff's right to opt out to
5		only two exemptions: (1) religious or religious convictions, and (2) medical, subject
6		to a pre-authorization release of confidential medical information.
7	3.60	Plaintiff was served notice by Centralia College Board of Trustees on or about May
8		2, 2024, that they had decided to uphold the decision to terminate tenure. Plaintiff
9		was dismissed effective April 30, 2023.
10		
11		IV. CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS
12		
13		A. Breach of Contract
14	4.1	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
15	4.2	Plaintiff executed the Professional Services Contract ("Tenure Contract") offered by
16		Defendants Mohrbacher and Centralia College on or about June 1, 2021.
17	4.3	Defendants Mohrbacher and Centralia College breached the Tenure Contract
18		Agreement by unilaterally altering the terms and conditions of the Tenure.
19		
20		
21		
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  RELIEF— Page 12  Lockerby Law, PL 1420 Fifth Avenue, Su Seattle, WA 981 Phone: (206) 854-2 Joy@LockerbyLaw	

1	4.4	Defendants' breach of Tenure Contract was willful and intended to deprive Plaintiff
2		of salary and benefits, thereby giving rise to a claim for double exemplary damages
3		under RCW 49.52.050 and RCW 49.52.070.
4	4.5	Defendants Centralia College and Mohrbacher have control over Plaintiff's
5	,	employment status and payment of wages and work assignment locations.
6	4.6	Defendants Department of Corrections, White, and Strange had control over
7		Plaintiff's work assignment locations.
8	4.7	Upon information and belief, on or about sometime in October to December 2021,
9		Defendants stopped paying Plaintiff's salary, benefits, and prevented her from
10		accruing benefits and tenure.
11	4.8	Defendants' violation of RCW 49.48.010 was willful and deprived Plaintiff of her
12		salary, benefits, and benefit accruals.
13	4.9	Plaintiff did not knowingly submit to the deprivation.
14	4.10	Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for double exemplary damages under RCW
15		49.52.050 and RCW 49.52.070, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and other
16		damages, including for loss of income, loss of earning power, loss of benefit accruals,
17		pension, and health benefits, anxiety, distress, humiliation, stigmatization, and loss
18		of enjoyment, attorneys fees and costs, and other harms, in amounts proven at trial.
19		
20		
21		
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF— Page 13  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com	

1		B. Breach of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
2	4.11	Defendants Centralia College and Mohrbacher breached the duty of good faith and
3		fair dealing to Plaintiff.
4	4.12	Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff's salary and benefits without sufficient cause.
5	4.13	Defendants gave new, unnoticed reasons to justify "cause" at the December 2022
6		hearing.
7	4.14	Defendants did not act to protect Plaintiff's tenure rights at the December hearing.
8	4.15	Defendants Centralia College, Robert Mohrbacher, failed to offer to renew the
9		contract in June 2022 in good faith, thereby continuing the breach.
10	4.16	Defendants subjected Plaintiff a new, previously un-contracted for "dismissal"
11		review process whereby it shifted the adjudication over to the Office of
12		Administrative Hearings. Defendants (1) failed to provide proper written notice for
13		termination for any alleged sufficient cause, (2) failed to give plaintiff adequate 30-
14 15		day window to remedy any such perceived shortfalls, (3) failed to give plaintiff an
16		opportunity to exercise her representation right prior to any considered change
17		becoming final, (4) failed to act with good faith in processing Plaintiff's Notice of
18		Termination for non-disciplinary reasons.
19	4.17	As the direct and proximate cause of Defendants' breach of duty and acting in good
20		faith, Defendants are liable for the subsequent economic harms to Plaintiff including
21		loss of income, loss of earning power, loss of benefit accruals, pension, and health
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 14  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

benefits, anxiety, distress, humiliation, stigmatization, and loss of enjoyment, attorneys fees and costs, and other harms, in amounts proven at trial.

## C. Washington Wage Act Claim (Wage Theft)

- 4.18 Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
- 4.19 Plaintiff, at all relevant times to the Contract, was protected by the wage and hour laws of the state of Washington as set forth in RCW 49.52.
- 4.20 Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff's salary and benefits beginning on or about October 2021.
- 4.21 Defendants failed to provide a *Loudermill* hearing or Weingarten hearing before removing Plaintiff's pay and benefits and keeping her in a "still employed" status. not on Defendants are liable for double damages plus attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 4.22 As the direct and proximate cause of Defendants' failure to pay salary and benefits

  Defendants are liable for subsequent economic harms that flowed therefrom,
  including but not limited to loss of tenure and seniority, loss of income, loss of
  earning power, loss of benefit accruals, pension, and health benefits, attorneys fees
  and costs, and other harms, in an amount to be determined at trial.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF—Page 15

Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1		D. Washington Wage Rebate Act Claim / (Wage Theft)
2	4.23	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
3	4.24	Plaintiff, at all times relevant to the Tenure Contract, was protected by the wage and
4		hour laws of the State of Washington, as set forth in RCW 49.52 et seq.
5	4.25	Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully and with intent to deprive Plaintiff
6		of any part of her wages, rebated Plaintiff's wages, thereby unjustly enriching itself
7		at Plaintiff's expense.
8	4.26	Defendants' actions were willful and intended to deprive plaintiff of wages due under
9	4.20	
10		the contract and RCW 49.52.050(2), thereby giving rise to a claim for double
11		exemplary damages under RCW 49.52.050 and RCW 49.52.070.
12	4.27	Defendants withholding of tenure salary payments and benefits while keeping
13		Plaintiff in an employed and unpaid leave status, without complying with RCW
14		28B.50 et seq., and due process, violates Washington wage and hour law.
15	4.28	Plaintiff is entitled to double damages, plus attorneys' fees and costs in an amount to
16		be determined at trial.
17		To Was aful Touringtion in Wigletian of Dublic Delicer
		E. Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy
18	4.29	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
19		
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 16  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1		F. <u>Retaliation</u>
2	4.42	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
3	4.42	The Washington Law Against Discrimination (WLAD), RCW 49.60.210(1), and the
4	4.43	
5		common law protects employees against unlawful retaliation and retaliatory
6		discharge by an employer when an employee complains about a protected issue or
7		opposes discrimination and unconstitutional protections.
8	4.44	In September 2021 and October 2021, Plaintiff engaged in protected activities,
9		including but not limited to opposing the experimental COVID-19 injections, and in
10		the required disclosure of her medical status to her supervisor.
11	4.45	Plaintiff submitted a religious exemption request form, and objected in writing to the
12		constitutional violations.
	4.46	Defendants ignored Plaintiff's objections, complaints, and exemption request.
13	4.47	Plaintiff's protected opposition activities undertaken while Plaintiff was still
14		employed and prior to her dismissal, which according to Defendants, was effective
15		April 30, 2023.
16	4.48	Defendants failed to initiate or engage in an interactive process with Plaintiff.
17	4.49	Defendants retaliated against Plaintiff's membership in a protected class by
18		terminating her salary and benefits without due process and without sufficient cause,
19		in violation of the Tenure Contract, and without prior review by a properly formed
20		review committee.
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 19  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	4.50	Defendants further retaliated against Plaintiff by subjecting her to an unfair and
2		biased dismissal process which was more like an appeal of a decision already made.
3	4.51	The evidentiary appeal hearing before the Office of Administrative Hearings had
4		been newly contracted for Plaintiff's pre-dismissal proceeding. Plaintiff was not
5		included in that process in retaliation for her opposition activities.
6	4.52	Upon information and belief, Defendants opposed or failed to protect Plaintiff's
7		rights to tenure by reason of her unwillingness to provide her medical status to her
8		supervisor or enter it into the ctcLink database, then retaliated against her.
9	4.53	Upon information and belief, Defendants opposed or failed to protect Plaintiff's
10		rights to tenure by reason of her unwillingness to receive the COVID-19 injection
11		and be "fully vaccinated" by October 4, 2024, then retaliated against her.
12	4.54	Upon information and belief, Defendants opposed or failed to protect Plaintiff's
13		rights to tenure by subjecting her to a newly created exemption process to receive the
14		COVID-19 injections and be "fully vaccinated" by October 4, 2024.
15	4.55	Upon information and belief, Defendant Mohrbacher retaliated against Plaintiff by
16		asserted new alleged reasons for the dismissal, which contradicted the "non-
17		disciplinary" nature of the separation. Defendants failed to give Plaintiff prior notice
18		of these new allegations, and the unsupported allegations caused emotional distress
19		and other harms.
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 20  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

4.56 Defendants are liable for all damages that proximately caused by unlawful retaliation, including but not limited to economic harms, vocational damage, reputational damage, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to be proven at trial.

## G. <u>Discrimination for perceived physical impairment under WLAD, RCW 49.60</u>

- 4.57 Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
- 4.58 RCW 49.60.030 sets forth an individual's right to be free from discrimination in employment because of the presence of any sensory or physical disability or perceived disability. RCW 49.60.180 prohibits discrimination on the basis of an actual or perceived disability.
- 4.59 The definition of what constitutes a 'disability' under WLAD is broader than the under the ADA, and includes actual or perceived conditions of impairment.
- 4.60 Washington's legislature adopted an expansive definition of what is a "disability" to protect workers from discrimination and unfair treatment by reason of their actual disability or a perceived disability or impairment. A disability is defined as a "sensory, mental, or physical impairment that...(i) [i]s medically cognizable or diagnosable; or (ii) [e]xists as a record or history; or (iii) [i]s perceived to exist whether or not it exists in fact," and may include an impairment that affects one or more of the body's systems. RCW 49.60.040(7).

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF—Page 21

Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	4.61	Defendants perceived or regarded Plaintiff as having an impairment or disability.
2	4.62	Defendants unlawfully claimed that October 4, 2021 and/or October 18, 2021, was
3		the cutoff date, after which neither accommodation nor employability would be
4		considered. The imposed cutoff for a medical procedure is arbitrary and capricious.
5	4.63	Defendants unlawfully shifted the responsibility to Plaintiff to initiate a medical
6		exemption, rather than engage in an interactive process after deeming her impaired,
7	4.64	Defendants failed to allow her to perform the job with or without accommodation.
8	4.65	Defendants were aware of Plaintiffs objections to the safety and evidentiary support
9		for the vaccine but nonetheless did not explore any available alternatives to attempt
10		to accommodate Plaintiff's concerns.
11	4.66	Defendants failed to explore any reasonable accommodation with Plaintiff after
12		perceiving or regarding her as having an impairment conflicting with her job as a
13		tenured professor.
14	4.67	Defendants terminated Plaintiff based on their perceived physical disability of
15		Plaintiff.
16	4.68	Defendants failed to represent Plaintiff in the decision to terminate after regarding
17		Plaintiff as physically disabled or impaired.
18	4.69	Defendants failed to undertake the undue hardship analysis with respect to Plaintiff's
19		employment as a tenured professor.
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 22  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

<ul> <li>4.77 Defendants received Plaintiff's exemption but failed to process it or with Plaintiff about it.</li> <li>4.78 Defendants had about 19 months to process Plaintiff's exemption and with Plaintiff about it before dismissing her from her tenured position.</li> <li>4.79 Defendants knew or should have known that it had a legal duty to process exemption, to consider reasonable accommodations, and engage in the process.</li> <li>4.80 Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to suffer damages to be proven at actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.</li> <li>4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an intemployment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,</li> </ul>	r aammuniaata
4 4.78 Defendants had about 19 months to process Plaintiff's exemption and with Plaintiff about it before dismissing her from her tenured position.  4.79 Defendants knew or should have known that it had a legal duty to process exemption, to consider reasonable accommodations, and engage in the process.  4.80 Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to suffer damages to be proven at actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.  4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an integration of the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	Communicate
with Plaintiff about it before dismissing her from her tenured position.  4.79 Defendants knew or should have known that it had a legal duty to process.  process.  4.80 Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to suffer damages to be proven at actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.  4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an incemployment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	
4.79 Defendants knew or should have known that it had a legal duty to process.  9 4.80 Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to suffer damages to be proven at actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.  10 4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an incomplex employment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	d communicate
exemption, to consider reasonable accommodations, and engage in to process.  9 4.80 Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to suffer damages to be proven at actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.  10 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an incemployment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	1.
process.  4.80 Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to suffer damages to be proven at actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.  4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an inceptode employment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	cess Plaintiff's
<ul> <li>4.80 Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to suffer damages to be proven at actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.</li> <li>4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an incemployment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,</li> </ul>	the interactive
actions are the actual and proximate cause of those damages.  11 4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an incention of the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	
11 4.81 RCW 49.60.180 et seq prohibits employers from discharging an incertain employment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	trial, and such
employment on the basis of an individual's religion, creed,	
	ndividual from
13 4.82 Plaintiff objected to the exemption process to Plaintiff's supervisor, El	Elizabeth Grant
after Grant asked Plaintiff to disclose her vaccination status to Grant.	
15 4.83 Defendants failed to establish a bona fide occupational qualification for	or any term and
condition of employment it decided to impose upon Pyne and tenur	ired faculty by
reason of vaccination status.	
18 4.84 Defendants' actions and inactions were the actual and proximate cause	se of Plaintiff's
damages, in amounts to be proven at trial.	
20	
21	
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  RELIEF— Page 24  Lockerby Law, 1420 Fifth Avenue, Seattle, WA S Phone: (206) 85 Joy@LockerbyL	e, Suite 3000 98101 354-2869

1		I. Disparate Treatment under WLAD RCW 49.60 et seq.
2	4.85	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
3	4.86	Defendants did not promulgate approved and codified employment policies to
4	4.80	Plaintiff with regard to disclosure of the COVID-19 vaccine status.
5	4.87	Defendants Centralia College and DOC's policies burden Plaintiff's fundamental
6	4.67	rights and target a suspect class: namely Christians and God-fearing individuals who
7		wish not to alter their God-given DNA with an mRNA vaccine.
8	4.88	Plaintiff, a person having a religious faith and creed that prevents vaccination, is a
9	4.00	member of a protected class.
10	4.89	Defendants targeted Pyne and others who have faith-based beliefs and creeds who
11	4.02	do not wish to alter their God-given DNA with an experimental mRNA vaccine.
12	4.90	Defendants' unlawful practices subjected Pyne to pit her religious beliefs preventing
13	1.50	vaccination and creed preventing vaccination against her tenured faculty position.
14	4.91	Defendants allowed students to be excused from the vaccination mandate.
15	4.92	Defendants Department of Corrections allowed prisoners to be excused from
16	1.52	receiving the vaccine.
17	4.93	Upon information and belief, Defendants' students and inmates were treated more
18	4.93	favorably than Plaintiff with regard to the "vaccine mandate" and disclosure of health
19		care information.
20		care information.
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 25  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	4.94	Defendants motivating factor was because of religion and medical autonomy and to
2		terminate Plaintiff without due process by the October 18, 2021, deadline without
3		regard to her Tenure Contract.
4	4.95	Defendants' disparate treatment of Plaintiff was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's
5		damages in amounts to be proven at trial.
6		J. Constitutional Claims, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Washington Constitution
7		
8	4.96	At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was a Washington State employee
9		protected by the Washington State's Constitution and the U.S.C.
10		1. Unlawful Taking under Wash. Const. Art. I §16
11	4.97	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
12	4.98	A Tenure Contract and position of tenure is a property interest that cannot be taken
13		by the government for public use without constitutional due process under the
14		Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I § 16 of the
15		Washington State Constitution and pursuant to Chapter 28B.50 et seq.
16	4.99	Statutes governing a tenured faculty member provides that dismissal is not to occur
17		until after a properly formed review committee has reviewed the case first.
18	4.100	On or about October 1, 2021, Defendants Centralia College and Mohrbacher
19		provided two different versions of the same letter with the subject "Notice of Intent
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 26  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1		to Separate for Non-disciplinary Reasons." Neither letter references a review
2		committee, a Loudermill hearing or a Weingarten hearing.
3	4.101	Defendants deprived Plaintiff of tenure, wages, benefits, pension without due
4		process.
5	4.102	Upon information and belief, evidence shows that the COVID-19 vaccine and
6		boosters do not actually prevent infection or transmission of COVID-19 virus, that
7		many recipients of one or more of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccines have died or have
8		suffered adverse effects, and those who have been vaccinated for COVID-19 are not
9		inoculated from anything and in some cases may be more susceptible to infections
10		than those who have natural immunity.
11	4.103	Defendants owed a duty to provide Pyne a pre-dismissal review by Pyne's a review
12		committee properly formed pursuant to RCW 28B.50.869. Defendants breached that
13		duty by not forming the review committee in accordance with the law, and selected
14		by a majority of the faculty.
15	4.104	Defendants owed a separate duty to provide Pyne a Loudermill hearing and a name-
16		clearing (Weingarten) hearing for any alleged acts that were considered
17		"disciplinary."
18	4.105	Defendants issued a letter to Plaintiff dated October 18, 2021, stating that her
19		dismissal was for "non-disciplinary" grounds.
20		
21		
	100 100 100000	PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 27  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1		2. Invasion of Privacy under Wash. Const. art I, §7
2	A 112	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
3		
4	4.114	Washington's constitution provides that no person shall be disturbed in her private
		affairs without authority of law. Wash. Const. art. I, § 7.
5	4.115	Washington state's constitutional rights and statutory protections in RCW 42.56 et
6		seq. and RCW 70.02 et seq. for privacy are broader than those set forth in the Fourth
7	k	Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and includes the right to personal agency and
8		bodily autonomy.
9	4.116	Plaintiff's fundamental rights in § 7 cannot be waived by government actors, and
10		may only be infringed upon when strict scrutiny is met. For example, Plaintiff has a
11		right to refuse medical treatment and to not disclose her vaccine status to her
12		employer without a legitimate need to know.
13	4.117	Defendants were not Plaintiff's medical provider and had no authority to impose a
14		medical treatment on Plaintiff.
15	4.118	Defendants failed to strictly scrutinize Plaintiff's tenured position, and failed to
16		identify a compelling state interest narrowly tailored using the least restrictive means
17		under Wash. Const. art. I, §7.
18	4.119	Defendants breached Pyne's constitutional rights to privacy by unlawfully pressuring
19		Pyne to reveal her vaccine status, then terminating her.
20		
21		
	10.75	PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 29  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	4.127	A tenured employee has a property interest in that tenured position, including salary
2		and benefits, which cannot be dismissed without sufficient cause and which cannot
3		be terminated without just cause, or due process, which includes a fair hearing.
4	4.128	Defendants deprived Plaintiff of her tenure, salary, pension rights, and employment
5		benefits in October and November 2021 through the dismissal effective date of April
6		30, 2023.
7	4.129	Defendants' deprivations were without due process of law.
8	4.130	As a result of Defendants' unlawful acts, Plaintiff suffered economic damages in
9		amounts to be determined at trial.
10		4. Infringement of Freedom of Conscience, Wash. Const. art. I, § 11
11		4. Infingement of Freedom of Conscience, wash. Const. art. 1, § 11
12	4.131	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
13	4.132	Defendants violated Wash. Const. art. I, § 11, which guarantees in part, "[a]bsolute
14		freedom of conscience in all matters of religious sentiment, belief and worship"
15	4.133	Defendants diminished Plaintiff's expression of her conscience and its impact on her
16		ability to work as a tenured professor in Washington.
17	4.134	Defendants improperly interposed a mutually exclusive option for objecting to the
18		vaccine requirement: Medical or religious, and failed to consider any other option.
19		
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF- Page 31  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	4.135	Unlike other protected classes, religion, and a sincerely held religious belief and
2		one's creed, particularly as it relates to conscience and beliefs, is not immutable. A
3		person's faith in God may grow or changes over time.
4	4.136	Defendants' question on the exemption form is irrelevant, constitutionally
5		impermissible, and unlawfully infringed upon Plaintiff's freedom of conscience.
6	4.137	As a result of Defendants' unconstitutional acts, Plaintiff suffered economic damages
7		in amounts to be determined at trial.
8		5. Violation of Equal Protection under Wash. Const. art. I, § 3
9		5. Violation of Equal Protection under Wash. Const. art. 1, § 5
10	4.138	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
11	4.139	The Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides that "No state shall
12		make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens
13		of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or
14		property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction
15		the equal protection of the laws." Washington's constitution protects these personal
16		rights. Wash. Const. art. I, § 3
17	4.140	Defendants violated Pyne's constitutional right to equal protection of the laws by
18		treating her position as a tenured professor less favorably than that of a college
19		student or prisoner at the Department of Corrections.
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	4.141	Defendants' treatment of Pyne's rights with respect to equal protection laws was
2		arbitrary and capricious.
3	4.142	Defendants failed to produce any evidence showing a correlation between receiving
4		a vaccination and a reduction in the spread of COVID-19.
5	4.143	Upon information and belief, evidence shows that both vaccinated individuals and
6		unvaccinated individuals can contract and transmit COVID-19.
7	4.144	Defendants' violation of Washington's equal protection clause against Pyne, on its
8		face or as applied, is not related to a rational or legitimate end.
9	4.145	Defendants' unconstitutional actions are the actual and proximate cause of Plaintiff's
10		damages, in amounts to be proven at trial.
11		6. Violation of First Amendment and Free Exercise 42 U.S.C. § 1983
12	4.146	Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the complaint as if fully incorporated herein.
13	4.147	Defendants undertook a constitutionally impermissible inquiry into the validity or
14		plausibility of Plaintiff's sincerely held beliefs by asking the question on the religious
15		exemption form.
16	4.148	Plaintiff responded on the form that Defendants' question was unconstitutionally
17		invalid.
18	4.149	Defendants did not respond to or address Pyne's constitutional objection.
19	4.150	Defendants then informed Plaintiff it was terminating her benefits.
20	4.151	Defendants' unconstitutional actions are the actual and proximate cause of Plaintiff's
21		
	57 75 75 75	PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 33  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

damages, in amounts proven at trial. 1 2 K. Injunctive Relief 3 4.152 Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief under several state and federal laws including 4 but not limited to WLAD, Chapter 70.02 RCW, Medical Records - Health Care 5 Information Access and Disclosure, the Washington State Constitution, HIPAA, and 6 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 7 4.153 Plaintiff will be seeking to enjoin Defendants from engaging in future wrongdoing 8 with regard to tenure contracts and the altering of tenure contracts without bargaining 9 or negotiating with the tenured faculty. 10 4.154 Plaintiff will be seeking to enjoin Defendants from failing to bargain with tenured 11 12 faculty or the union on material terms and conditions of employment. 13 4.155 Plaintiff will be seeking to enjoin Defendants from failing to negotiate with tenured 14 faculty over terms and conditions of employment. 15 4.156 Plaintiff will be seeking to enjoin Defendants' improper requirement of disclosures 16 of confidential medical information without informed consent, including vaccine 17 status. 18 4.157 Plaintiff will be seeking to enjoin Defendants mishandling of employee's medical 19 information without informed consent, including vaccine status. 20 21 Lockerby Law, PLLC COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 RELIEF-Page 34 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1	5.1.7	Award for pre- and post-judgment interest on all damages allowed under the law or
2		in equity.
3	5.1.8	Reasonable Attorneys' fees and cost, as authorized by state and federal statutes.
4	5.1.9	Any other such relief this court may deem equitable, just, and proper.
5		
6		DATED this 18th day of October, 2024.
7		LOCKERBY LAW, PLLC
8		By:/s/ Joy Lockerby
9		Joy M. Lockerby, WSBA #44343 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000
10		Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869
11		Email: Joy@LockerbyLaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Dr. Laurie Pyne
12		Tittorney for Flamour, Dr. Daurie Tyne
13		
14		
15		
16		·
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
		PLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  EF— Page 36  Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 854-2869 Joy@LockerbyLaw.com

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEWIS

LAURIE PYNE,

Plaintiff,

 $V_{\star}$ 

CENTRALIA COLLEGE, a state community college; ROBERT MOHRBACHER, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Centralia College; JANE DOE MOHRBACHER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a Washington Agency; DAN WHITE, individually and in his official former capacity as the Superintendent of Washington Corrections Center; CHERYL STRANGE, individually and in her official capacity as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections; and JANE AND JOHN DOES,

Defendants.

No. 24-2-0106221

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS CENTRALIA COLLEGE, ROBERT MOHRBACHER, WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, DAN WHITE, AND CHERYL STRANGE

Clerk's Action Required

TO: CLERK OF THE COURT

AND TO: Joy M. Lockerby and Lockerby Law, PLLC, attorney for Plaintiff

Please take notice that Defendants Centralia College, Robert Mohrbacher, Washington

State Department of Corrections, Dan White, and Cheryl Strange (collectively, "Defendants")

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 1

25

26

27

hereby appear in the above entitled action through their undersigned attorneys Ian D. Rogers and Meha Goyal of Pacifica Law Group LLP and requests that all further papers and pleadings, except original process, be served upon the undersigned attorneys at the address below stated.

Defendants appear in this matter without waiving any applicable defenses, including without limitation lack of jurisdiction, improper venue, insufficiency of process, insufficiency of service of process, failure to state a claim, and failure to join a necessary party.

DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2024.

PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP

Ian D. Rogers, WSBA #46584

Meha Goyal, WSBA #56058

PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 206-245-1700 Ian.Rogers@pacificalawgroup.com Meha.Goyal@pacificalawgroup.com

Attorneys for Defendants Centralia College, Robert Mohrbacher, Washington State Department of Corrections, Dan White, and Cheryl Strange

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 6<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2024, I caused to be served the foregoing Notice of Appearance via electronic mail, and via U.S. mail postage prepaid, to counsel for plaintiff listed below.

Joy M. Lockerby Lockerby Law, PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 206-854-2869 joy@lockerbylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2024.

Sydney Henderson

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 3